G. R. S. 59} GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1912

(Police)

File No. 3 ag 2

Serial Nos. 1, 3, 10, 14, 21 and 2

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Prosecution under Infant marriage prevention Regulation.

J. V. Ramaswamy Iyengar

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Compromised.	

1



FROM 2/1903

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

SECTION P & C

FILE No. 3 & 1 & 1

SERIAL No. 1

Dated

Despatched July 1902

Received

General No. 915

No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting records—
1. the Case of an Infant
Marriage at Hadadi
2. the Davangere Taluk,
& requesting Govt orders.

Encl
14 / A

Draft Sub'd
15/7/02

MSCPL—5-3-01-1000

2

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Camp Kolar

No. 975 Dated 4th July 1902

Enc. Index
& records

From

B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar Esqr.:
Offg: THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esqr: B.A.
THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
in the GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

I beg to forward herewith a Memo of the 29th June 1902 from the Assistant Superintendent of Police of the Chitaldrug District bringing to notice the occurrence of an infant marriage at Hadadi in the Davangere Taluk on the 14th ultimo 1902. - It will be seen therefrom that one Siddappa father of the bride, gave his daughter Veneki aged 5 years to Karappa aged about

1

(2)

OFFICE OF THE ... Regd. No 509 14 JUL 1902 1902

FROM 155 M 2/1903

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

SECTION Pol

FILE No. 3 A 2 3
G

SERIAL No. 1

Dated 11

Despatched July 1902

Received

General No. 915
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting records
in the Case of an Infant
Maraiah at Hadadi
in the Davangere Taluk,
& requesting Govt orders.

Inl
14/7

Draft Sub'd
15/7/02

HGCPE—5-3-01-1000

12 years son of Baramayya and that Subbamma Jois performed the marriage ceremonies.—

2. The Assistant Superintendent of Police recommends the prosecution of the parties concerned viz, Siddappa father of the bride, Baramayya father of the bride-groom and Subbamma the priest that performed the marriage ceremonies, under Sec: 3 of the Infant Marriage Prevention Regulation.— I beg that sanction may be kindly accorded to the same.—

3. The records of the case are herewith submitted.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg: Inspector General of Police.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

SECTION Rel

FILE No. 3 e y e 2

READ—

S[illegible] No. 3

Letter No. 975 dated 11th July 1902

from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore

reporting that on the 14th May 1902 an infant girl of about 5 years of age,

named Kenchi, daughter of one Siddappa

was married to one Kariyappa aged about 12 years,

at Hadadi in the Davangere Taluk

Under the provisions of Section 8 of Regulation X of 1894, the Government are pleased to direct the prosecution, for offences under Section 3 of the Mysore Infant Marriages Prevention Regulation, of the marginally noted persons who caused the marriage of the infant girl named Kenchi

with a boy named Kariyappa

or knowingly aided and abetted the same, ~~and of the said husband~~

Siddappa — father of the bride
Basamma — do — of the bridegroom
Subbamma — the officiating priest.

ORDER THEREON No. 9323 DATED BANGALORE 17/7 1902

J. 91 Income Records.

Secretary.

To —The Deputy Commissioner, Hadadi District.

The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

5 J 231

9

1902/1903

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

SECTION Pol.

FILE NO. 3 of 02

SER. V. No. 10

Dated 21 August 1902

Despatched 23 August 1902.

Received 25 AUG 1902

General No. 67

No. 49

Enclosure No. 1 + file

stating that in the Infant Marriage Case that took place at Davangere the name of one is given for the name of the other by mistake and requesting that Govt: may be pleased to sanction the prosecution of the right individual herein mentioned.

MGCPE—5-3-01-1000

Draft Sdd
25/8/02

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 691/47 DATED. Bangalore 21st August 1902.

Enc.

FROM

C. Hadiah Esq, Rao Bahadur,

~~THE~~ INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

H. V. Nunjundiah Esq, M. A; M. L.,

~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
in the GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

Adverting to Govern-

ment Proceedings No: 932-3/J. 91 of the 17th July 1902 sanctioning the prosecution of the marginally noted persons, who caused the marriage of the infant girl named Kenchi with a boy named Kariyappa at Hadadi in the Davangere Taluq on the 14th May 1902, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a memo of the 5th august 1902 from the — Assistant Superintendent of Police of the — Chitaldroog

Siddappa father of the bride,
Bhimmaraya father of the bridegroom,
Subbanna Jois, the officiating priest.

Chitaldroog District, with the records of the case, stating that the name of Bharmaiyya was by mistake entered in his memo, instead of Bharmamma, the mother of the bridegroom, who brought about the marriage, the father of the bridegroom having died some six years ago, and requesting that sanction may be accorded to substitute the name of Bharmamma for — Bharmaiyya for prosecution under Section 3 of the Infant Marriage Prevention Regulation. I beg that sanction may be kindly accorded to the Assistant Superintendent's proposal :—

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police

C.S.

Memo

Encl to sl 10

In connection with the Infant marriage case that occurred in Hadadi village of the Davangere Taluq between the girl Kenchi and the boy Kanyappa, reported in my memo of the 29th June 1902, orders of Government were requested to be obtained for the prosecution of Bharamayya and Siddappa fathers of the bridegroom and bride respectively and the priest Subbanna Jois that brought about the said marriage. The memo and vernacular records were forwarded to the Inspector General with docket- No: 6 of the 2nd July 1902 and upon their transmission to Government from the office of the Inspector General of Police, the Govern-ment have been pleased to issue order No: 932-3/991 of the 17th July 1902 sanctioning the prosecution of the parties above referred to.

I regret very much to state that the name of Bharmayya was through oversight mentioned in my memo for the name

of Bharmamma the mother of the bridegroom who is the person that brought about the marriage, her husband having died 6 years previous thereto. She was examined by me on the 23rd June 1902 and she admitted having celebrated the said marriage through ignorance of law. She has therefore to be prosecuted for breach of the Infant marriage Regulations. I beg therefore to request that the name of the woman, viz, Bharmamma — mother of the bridegroom may kindly be substituted for Bharmayya, ordered to be prosecuted in the Government order above quoted and that needful orders may kindly be obtained. —

/sd/ C. Narayana Rao
Assist Supdt of Police,
Chittaldrug.

5-8-1902.

"Copy"

Inspector General of Police.
21/8/02.

Sanction may be accorded to substitute the name of Bharamamma for Bharamayya in the Govt orders referred to above

2826-7 / J 261. 27/8/02

Order thereon

Under the circumstances brought to notice, the name of Bharamamma (the mother of the bridegroom) will be substituted for "Bharamayya" (the father) mentioned by mistake of the bridegroom in the Govt orders read above as the name of the father of the bridegroom.

2578

for Secretary

To

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore returning records.

The Dy Magte Chitaldrug

Dr

Draft for approval

(13)

12

Read again: —

Govt Progs: No 932-3 991 dated 17th July 1902, sanctioning the prosecution of Siddappa and two others for having been concerned in the infant marriage case which occurred at Hadadi in the Dharwar Taluk

Read also: —

Letter No 691/49 dated 21st August 1902 from the Inspector General of Police stating that in the ~~XX~~ memo of the case submitted by the Assistant Supdt of Police, the name of Bharamagga was entered by mistake, instead of that of Bharmamma, the mother of the bride-groom, and requesting that

SECTION P & C

FILE No. 2 1 0 1

SERIAL No. 14

Regd No 4216
21 OCT 1902
190
FROM J484

127

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

SECTION Pol

FILE No. 30J

SER'AL No. 24

Dated

Despatched 20 October 1902

Received

General No. 1628/119
No.

Enclosure No. one

Forwarding a statement
showing the result of
prosecutions instituted
against parties concerned
in infant marriages
in the Chikballapur

Inst
22.10.02

MGCPB—5-3-01-1000

Draft file
22/10/02

13

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Bangalore

No. 1628/117 DATED 20th October 1902.

Enc. one

FROM

C. Madiah Esquire Rao Bahadur

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To A. V. Narsimhayya Esquire M.A.M.L.

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

in the GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings noted in the margin sanctioning the prosecution of certain persons concerned in the infant marriages that took place in the Chitaldroog District, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the result of prosecution instituted against them in the Courts of the District Magistrate of Chitaldroog.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient Servant.

Inspector General of Police

M.

Government of Mysore & Coorg Press, Bangalore

No. 1879/8125 dated 23rd July 1902 — Sl No 4

No. 2432-3/891 dated 17th July 1902 — Sl No 11

No. 2826-7/P. 261 dated 27th August 1902 — Sl No 13

No. 2821-2/P. 256 dated 27th August 1902

Statement showing the result of prosecution in C.

in the Court of the District Magistrate of C

No.	Criminal Case No. on the file of the District Magistrate Chittaldrug	Names of persons prosecuted	Government Order sanctioning prosecution No. dated	Re
	Criminal Case No 3 of 1902-1903	1. Kenchappa 2. Sannathayamma 3. Narayana Jois	No $\frac{2821-2}{J.256}$ dated 27th August 1902	The 1st a a fine of simple im the 3d ac in default for No
2.	Criminal Case No 4 of 1902-1903	1 Rachappa 2. Basappa 3. Karibasayya	No $\frac{1379}{J125}$ of the 23d July 1902.	The three a or in defaul
3	Criminal Case No 5 of 1902-1903	1. Siddhappa 2. Bharamayya 3. Subbanna Jois	Nos $\frac{932-3}{J91}$ of 17th July 1902 and $\frac{2826-7}{J261}$ of 27th August 1902	The first ac default to the 2d accu to suffer 20 the 3d acc in default

1st October 1902
Chittaldrug

tutes under the Mysore Infant Marriage Regulation

it a fine of — — —

ck of the Case	Remarks
d 2nd accused Sentenced to pay Rs 20/- each or in default to suffer risonment for 20 days each and ced to pay a fine of Rs 40/- or to suffer Simple imprisonment days.	
cused to pay a fine of Rs 10/- each to suffer 10 days simple imprisonment each. used to pay a fine of Rs 10/- or in suffer one month simple imprisonment d to pay a fine of Rs 7/- or in default days simple imprisonment and ced to pay a fine of Rs 40/- or suffer 2 months simple imprisonment.	

-Sd/ C. Narayana Rao.
for Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.

Copy

Inspector General of Police

18 15

Read: ———

Letter No 1628/119 dated 20th October 1902, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting a Statement showing the result of the prosecutions under the Infant Marriage Prevention Regulation order in the Govt Proceedings noted in the margin, in the case of the parties concerned in the infant marriage that took place in the Chitaldrug District.

No 6183 / 579 § 23/10/02

Order thereon: ———

Recorded

Sri

for Secretary
22/10/02

To The Inspector General of Police

SECTION P.C

FILE NO. 30102 (1)

SERIAL NO. 22

1 Govt Regn: No 1379 (5) / 125 § 23/7/02

2. do — (2) 9323 / 371 § 17/7/02
and No (13) 2826 / 3261 § 27/8/02

3. (11) 2821-2 / 3256 § 27/8/02